MATHEMATICAL AND NUMERICAL MODEL OF 3D NATURAL CONVECTION IN A CUBE
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Abstract. In the paper mathematical and numerical model of air convection in 3D region is considered. Governing equations of the model are presented. Main assumptions of numerical approach are discussed. Finite Element Method (FEM) with Characteristic Based Split (CBS) scheme is used to solve the problem. Two examples of numerical calculation are presented and discussed.

Introduction

Natural convection is a phenomenon in which the fluid motion is not generated by any external source (like a pump, fan, suction device etc.) but only by density differences in the fluid induced by temperature gradients. In natural convection, fluid receives heat in the vicinity of a heat source, becomes less dense and rises. The surrounding, lower-temperature fluid then moves to replace it. This cooler fluid is then heated and the process continues leading to convection cells formation. This process also transfers heat energy from the bottom of the convection cell to top. The driving force for natural convection is buoyancy, a result of differences in fluid density.
Natural convection in a differential sidewall-heated air-filled enclosure is a basic model for simulating various classes of thermal engineering systems [1]. For two past decades a large number of investigations have been conducted, mostly for two-dimensional enclosures. A set of benchmark solutions was proposed for various Rayleigh number $10^3 \div 10^6$ for a two-dimensional square cavity for which horizontal walls are insulated [2].

Presented paper examines numerically the effect of the thermal boundary conditions at the vertical walls ($x = 0$ and $0.1$ m) on the characteristics of three-dimensional fields in a differentially heated cubical enclosure (Fig. 1a), while other walls are thermally insulated. Additionally numerical simulation was performed with circular heated region on the bottom wall while the others were insulated (Fig. 1b).

1. Mathematical model

The flow is governed by the time-dependent, incompressible Navier-Stokes (1) and energy equations (2). The Boussinesq approximation is invoked for the fluid properties. Set of equations contains also a continuity equation (3).

\[
\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i - \frac{1}{\rho} p_i - g_i \beta (T - T_{\text{ref}}) = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} \\
\frac{c \rho}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i T_i \right) = \left( \lambda T_{\text{ref}} \right)_i
\]

where $T$ [K] means temperature, $u_i$ [m/s] is velocity component, $t$ [s] - time, $\lambda$ [W/(mK)] - thermal conductivity, $\mu$ [kg/ms] - dynamic viscosity, $c$ [J/(kgK)] - specific heat, $\rho$ [kg/m$^3$] - density, $g_i$ [m/s$^2$] - acceleration component, $\beta$ [K$^{-1}$] - volumetric thermal expansion coefficient, $T_{\text{ref}}$ [K] indicates reference temperature.

Equations (1-3) are completed by the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions

\[
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_1 : T = T_b \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_2 : -\lambda \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla T = q_b
\]

and following initial conditions

\[
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{1-3} : u_i = 0, \quad t = 0 : T = T_0, \quad u_i = 0
\]
\[
t = 0 : T = T_0, \quad u_i = 0
\]

where $T_b$ [K] is known boundary temperature, $q_b$ [W/m$^2$] - heat flux normal to the boundary, $T_0$ [K] - initial temperature.
2. Numerical model

The weighted residual method [3] for the heat conductivity equation (1) is used

$$\int_{\Omega} w \left[ (\lambda T_{ni})_{ni} - c \rho \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u_i T_{ni} \right) \right] d\Omega = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Weak form of (9) is obtained

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda w_i T_{ni} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} c \rho w_i u_j T_{nj} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} c \rho w_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} d\Omega = \int_{\Gamma} w_i q_{ib} d\Gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The above equation is discretized over space using Petrov-Galerkin method [4-6]. For the single finite element one can write

$$\mathcal{X} \int_{\Omega^e} w_{i,k} N_{j,k} d\Omega + (c \rho)^e \int_{\Omega^e} w_i N_{j,k} u_k^i N_{i,k} d\Omega +$$

$$+ (c \rho)^e \int_{\Omega^e} w_i N_{j,k} d\Omega = \int_{\Gamma^e} w_i q_{ib} d\Gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $N_i$ is linear shape function of the finite element and $w_i$ [4] can be written as follows

$$w_i = N_i + \gamma_i \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{u_i^i N_{i,k}}{|u^i|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $h_i$ is element size in the direction of velocity vector [7], $\gamma_i$ and Peclet number $P_{ce}$ are calculated using following formulas

$$\gamma_i = \text{ctgh} \left( P_{ce}^i \right) - \frac{1}{P_{ce}^i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$P_{ce}^i = \frac{c \rho |u^i| h_i}{2 \lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Integral terms from (10) can be written in the following matrix form

$$K_{ij} = \mathcal{X} \int_{\Omega^e} w_{i,k} N_{j,k} d\Omega, \quad A_{ij}^e = (c \rho)^e \int_{\Omega^e} w_i N_{j,k} u_k^i N_{i,k} d\Omega$$

$$M_{ij}^e = (c \rho)^e \int_{\Omega^e} w_i N_{j,k} d\Omega, \quad B_{ij}^e = \int_{\Gamma^e} w_i q_{ib} d\Gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
where: $K^e$ is element heat conductivity matrix, $A^e$ - advection matrix, $M^e$ - heat capacity matrix and $B^e$ - right hand side vector.

After procedure of discretization over time (Euler backward scheme) and aggregation of the discrete model we obtain global finite element equation

$$
\left( K^e + A^e + \frac{1}{\Delta t} M^e \right) T^{f+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} M T^f + B
$$

(15)

We solve momentum equation (1) with use of characteristic based split (CBS) scheme which is based on the projection method of Chorin [8] as described in Zienkiewicz and Codina [9] and Zienkiewicz and Taylor [10]. In this method an auxiliary velocity field $u^*$ is introduced to uncouple equations (1) and (3)

$$
\Delta u_i^* = \Delta u_i - u_i^f = \Delta t \left[ \frac{\mu}{\rho} \rho \left( u_i^*, u_i^* - g, \beta \left( T - T_{ref} \right) \right) + \right.

\left. + \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_k \left[ u_i^* + g, \beta \left( T - T_{ref} \right) \right]_{j=r} \right] (16)
$$

The final velocity field is corrected by the pressure increment so that is divergence free

$$
u_i^f - u_i^* = -\frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \left( p_{,i} \right)^{f+1}
$$

(17)

By taking the divergence of (17) we arrive at the following Poisson equation for the pressure

$$
\Delta t \left( p_{,ii} \right)^{f+1} = \rho u_i^*,
$$

(18)

We apply the standard Galerkin procedure for (16-18).

2. Example of calculations

Computer program using finite element method has been made on the base of theoretical assumptions. Finite element mesh was created with use of GMSH generator. It contained 75434 nodes and 422873 tetrahedrons. Considered region was filled with air. Material properties used in calculations are shown in Table 1.

Rayleigh and Prandtl number of the flow was calculated with use of following relations
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\[ \text{Ra} = \frac{\beta g (T_H - T_C) D^3 \rho \beta c}{\mu \lambda} \]  
\[ \text{Pr} = \frac{\mu c}{\lambda} \]

(19)  
(20)

Table 1. Material properties of air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda ) [W/(mK)]</td>
<td>2.7e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho ) [kg/m³]</td>
<td>1.1e-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c ) [J/kg]</td>
<td>1.0e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu ) [kg/(ms)]</td>
<td>1.9e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta ) [1/K]</td>
<td>3.3e-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations were made at \( \text{Ra} = 10^6 \) and \( \text{Pr} = 0.7 \). During the first case of calculation left vertical wall (\( x = 0 \) m) was cold (\( T_C = 0 \) K) and right vertical wall (\( x = 0.1 \) m) was hot (\( T_H = 13.096 \) K). Other walls were insulated. In the second one all walls were insulated except of circular region of radius \( r = 0.02 \) m located in the centre of the bottom wall (\( y = 0 \) m) which was heated up to \( T_H \).

Fig. 2. Velocity (a) and temperature (b) of the air (1st case of calculation) after 18 s

The velocity and temperature field obtained from first case of calculation are displayed in Figure 2. At this Rayleigh number, the fields can be characterized by the presence of thin thermal and hydrodynamic boundary layers near the isothermal walls and an almost-stagnant central core. The heat transfer at the isothermal walls is reduced due to insulation of horizontal walls.
Symmetrical pattern of flow is showed in Figure 3a. Stream of the hot air moves up from the bottom to the top, where it forms characteristic shape. It well corresponds to temperature field showed in Figure 3b.

Conclusions

Presented mathematical and numerical model of the 3D non-isothermal flow in the cube is the base of further work. It will focus on modelling solidification of binary alloys process with motion of the liquid phase and shrinkage cavities formation.
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